



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/605,187

09/12/2003

Eric James Wall

CHM-005M

2186

38155

7590

06/02/2008

HASSE & NESBITT LLC  
8837 CHAPEL SQUARE DRIVE  
SUITE C  
CINCINNATI, OH 45249

EXAMINER

DESANTO, MATTHEW F

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3763

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                       |   |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/605,187  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>WALL, ERIC JAMES |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>MATTHEW F. DESANTO | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3763                 |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MATTHEW F. DESANTO. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Daniel Nesbitt. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All of them.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner has read through the after final remarks and is convinced by the arguments drawn to the final being premature. Therefore the examiner has reached agreement with Applicant to withdrawn the final and send out a non-final rejection or allow the case.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Matthew F DeSanto/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3763

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required